UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,799	07/24/2003	Gary W. Mein	Mein C-M45	1425
ROBERT J. SA	7590 03/22/2007 AVFIE P.C.	EXAMINER		
SUITE 407 161 OTTAWA AVE N.W. GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503			RESTIFO, JEFFREY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	150, 111 15000		3618	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/626,799	MEIN, GARY W.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply		neet with the correspondence ac	Idress		
WHI0 - Extended after af	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ODATE OF THIS COM R 1.136(a). In no event, however riod will apply and will expire SIX tatute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 December 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	85 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicant 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5,10 and 18-20</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,11 and 13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>12 and 14-17</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	is/are withdrawn from (
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exan	ninor				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are:		objected to by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	-,,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this National).	Stage		
Attachmer	, ,					
2) 🔲 Notid 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Pa, 5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application her:			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,799 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 4, 5, 10, and 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species B, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/5/06. Examiner note; Although the applicant did not cite claims 4, 5, and 10 as being withdrawn, they are not shown in figures 1-13 of elected species a and therefore have also been withdrawn from consideration.
- 2. This application contains claims 4, 5, 10, and 18-20 drawn to an invention nonelected. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 6, 9, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garland (US 2,571,858 A).

Garland discloses an extendable arm for a motor vehicle comprising a main shaft 26, mounting bracket 13, telescoping/extendable/retractable assemblies 45-47, first

drive 18 for horizontal movement, second drive 40 for vertical movement, third drive 57 for telescopic movement, rod 34, finger 37, and tip 38, and controllers 21, 41, 59 for controlling the drives, as shown in figure 1. The added limitation of the term "for internally" is intended use and fails to define over Garland, further the telescoping assemblies are internal relative to main shaft 26.

Page 3

With respect to claims 2, 3, 11, and 13, the accessory and vehicle are intended uses and therefore their structure and relative location with respect to the arm have been given little patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garland, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Romanoff et al. (US 6,820,980 B1).

Garland et al. does not disclose remote controllers and programmable controls.

Romanoff et al. does disclose an extendable arm 50 for a motor vehicle 30 comprising a remote and programmable controller 430, as shown in figure 1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have

Art Unit: 3618

provided the extendable arm of Garland with the remote programmable controls of Romanoff et al. in order to control the arm from a distance to predetermined positions.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 12 and 14-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the added limitation of the term "internally" is intended use and fails to define over Garland, further the telescoping assemblies are internal relative to main shaft 26.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/626,799

Art Unit: 3618

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Jeffrey J Restifo **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3618